

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/693,474	10/24/2003	Jadwiga Malgorzata Bialek	F6176(V)	2551	
201	7590 09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			PADEN, CAROLYN A		
BLDG C2 SC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/693,474	BIALEK ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Carolyn A. Paden	1761		
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER  - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the machine if NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or extensions.	, FROM THE MAILING DA e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. bove, the maximum statutory period vended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHO ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirvill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE and the description of the communication, even if timely filed	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
	. 2b)☐ This n is in condition for allowar	une 2006. action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro fix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-11,</u> 4a) Of the above clai 5) □ Claim(s) is/ar 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 6-1</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/ar 8) □ Claim(s) are s	m(s) is/are withdrawe e allowed. 1, 13, 14, 16-25 is/are rejected e objected to.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
Applicant may not requ Replacement drawing	on is/are: a) acce est that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	r. epted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PT 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	nt(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	'atent Application		

Art Unit: 1761

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102 over Watanabe,
Weibel and Bunger has been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendments
to the claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 13, 14 & 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe (5,690,981) for reasons of record alone or if necessary as further evidenced by Lowe.

Applicant has amended the claims to recite that the claimed emulsion is an oil-in-water emulsion. Although Watanabe does not mention the type of emulsion prepared in the example, it would have been obvious to expect the composition of example 5A to be an oil-in-water emulsion. The evidence for this assertion is that the water content of the product is much higher than the oil content in example 5A. Second, the product is formed with egg white, a known emulsifier for oil-in-water emulsions and Lowe, on page 271, is relied upon for evidence to support this assertion. The carbohydrate content of example 5A falls within the range of the claims.

Page 3

No unobvious or unexpected result is seen from the dissipation rate in the mouth and in the droplet size. Homogenization of foods is known to create products with low droplet sizes. No unobvious or unexpected result is seen from the homogenizer settings in claim 11 because the homogenizer of example 5A would have been expected to provide adequate homogenization to prepare the emulsion of the claims.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

Application/Control Number: 10/693,474 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Carolyn Facler